



**RICHMOND CITY CORPORATION
90 SOUTH 100 WEST
RICHMOND, UTAH 84333**

AGENDA

Public Notice is given that the Richmond City Council will meet in a regularly scheduled meeting at 90 South 100 West, Richmond, Utah, on **Tuesday, May 19, 2026**. The meeting will begin at 6:30 PM.

Welcome and Opening Ceremonies by Daryl Black

1. Approval of the city council meeting minutes from April 21, 2026.
2. Discussion and possible vote on the special event application request by Jennifer Erickson to host a “Richmond Kids Bazaar” at the city park on Friday, June 19th.
3. Discussion and possible approval of Hazel Maxfield as a member of the Richmond City Library Board.
4. Discussion on culinary water capital improvement projects.
5. Discussion and possible vote on Ordinance 2026-03, an Ordinance amending the Richmond City Municipal Code, Title 12-000 “Land Use, Development and Management (LUDMO)”, Chapter 12-3000 “Permitted Use Matrix”.
6. Public Hearing for the purpose of discussing Resolution 2026-03, a Resolution amending the Fiscal Year 2026 Budget which is the period of July 1, 2025 through June 30, 2026.
7. Discussion and possible vote on Resolution 2026-03.
8. Staff reports and monthly financial review
 - a. Fiscal Year 2027 Budget Update
9. Council Member and Mayor Reports

Adjournment

*****Items on the agenda may be considered earlier than shown on the agenda.*****

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodation for this meeting should contact the City Office at (435) 258-2092, at least 3 days before the date of the meeting.



RICHMOND CITY COUNCIL MEETING April 21, 2026

The regular meeting of the Richmond City Council was held at the Park Community Center located at 90 South 100 West, Richmond, Utah, on Tuesday, April 21, 2026. The meeting began at 6:30 p.m. Mayor Jeff Young was in the chair.

Welcome and Opening Remarks by Fran Schumann

Council Members Present: Lyle Bair, Daryl Black, Joel Draxler, Fran Schumann, Bryce Wood

Staff Present: Justin Lewis (City Recorder), HollyJo Karren (City Administrator)

Others Present: Mark Anderson, Gavin Little, Cache County Sheriff Chad Jensen, Jordan Knutson, Dave Cavanaugh, Terrie Wierenga, Todd Smith, Lori Lefgren, John Harris, Josh Whitman, Cindy Allen, Boyd Lewis, Debbie Zilles, Ruth Little, Mary Ellen Glenn

Approval of the March 17, 2026 City Council meeting minutes

*****Councilmember Wood moved to approve the March 17, 2026, City Council meeting minutes as submitted. Councilmember Schumann seconded the motion. The motion was approved 5-0.*****

Yes Vote: Bair, Black, Draxler, Schumann, Wood

No Vote: None

Recognition of the 2026 Black & White Days Grand Marshal's Ruth Little and Mary Ellen Glenn.

Ruth Little and Mary Ellen Glenn were introduced.

Mrs. Little thanked Richmond for this honor. Her family moved to Richmond in 1959. There was only a family store, a post office, and a Carnegie library. She gave a brief history of the Carnegie family. She attends The Park Bench each week. She loves the City and appreciates all the services that help people.

Mrs. Glenn expressed what an honor this is. She moved to Richmond 40 years ago; this is home, and she loves it.

Discussion and Update with representatives of the Cache County Sheriff's Office

Cache County Sheriff Chad Jensen reported that calls for service are currently at 526 and are projected to reach approximately 700 by the end of the fiscal year, up from 669 last

fiscal year. He noted that as the valley continues to grow, calls for service are increasing countywide, not just in Richmond.

Contracted service hours are set at 1,202, while the City is currently at 1,700 hours. At the current pace, total hours are expected to reach approximately 2,275 by year-end, compared to 2,500 hours last year.

Animal Control has logged 78 calls so far this year and is projected to reach 105 by year-end, down from 118 last year. The contracted hours for Animal Control are 300, with current usage at 233 hours. These calls are expected to reach approximately 310 hours by the end of the year, similar to last year's total of 312 hours.

Sheriff Jensen explained that Richmond contracts for service hours beyond what is funded by property taxes, based on historical need. Property tax revenue cover only state-mandated service requirements. Although population growth has increased service demand, contract rates have remained unchanged. He added that the County has maintained strong relationships with the cities it serves.

The proposed rates for the next three years would adjust the hourly rate rather than the number of contracted hours, keeping wages competitive and ensuring positions remain filled.

<u>Contract Year</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Hour Rate</u>
2026-2027	1,222	\$73,320	\$60.00
2027-2028	1,242	\$79,488	\$64.00
2028-2029	1,262	\$85,816	\$68.00

In response to a question from Councilmember Draxler, Sheriff Jensen stated that the average starting wage for a deputy is \$30.72 per hour. He explained that a step-and-grade system has been implemented to ensure wages remain fair and competitive. Councilmember Draxler noted that officer pay is modest given the demands of the job and expressed full support for the proposed increase.

Sheriff Jensen noted that the new e-motorcycle laws may be confusing for the public. To address this, the Sheriff's Office will increase training efforts, focusing on public education and emphasizing that safety is the top priority. He stated that the department will continue to share information and updates on social media. The goal, he explained, is not to "over police," but to help residents understand applicable rules and regulations. The new law will take effect on May 6, 2026. Mayor Young expressed support for this approach and encouraged efforts that help residents engage with officers and view them in a positive light. He likes a balanced approach.

Sheriff Jensen also briefly recapped the recent administrative reorganization, noting that the changes create new opportunities and improvements within the department. He indicated that a copy of the updated organizational chart will be provided to the mayor and city council.

Presentation and update with representative of AllTech, LLC on IT services and cybersecurity.

Mark Anderson, President of AllTech LLC, stated that the company serves as Richmond City's technical services partner, providing support for phones, network infrastructure, technical support, surveillance systems, and cybersecurity. He noted that Richmond City is one of their longest-standing and most valued clients. He outlined the company's organizational model, emphasizing a solutions-based approach and the importance of serving as a strategic partner through consistent communication. He stated that he meets regularly with Councilmember Wood and City staff to review needs and ensure the City remains informed about evolving technology and security requirements. He added that AllTech strives to provide a high standard of service management while balancing security needs with operational efficiency across multiple City facilities and departments. Mr. Anderson also discussed the company's "zero trust network access" (ZTNA) approach and emphasized the importance of detecting, identifying, and protecting against potential cyber threats.

Mayor Young emphasized the importance of avoiding conflicts of interest while recognizing the value of working with a local business. He stated that the Mayor and City Council members complete annual conflict-of-interest disclosures, and that he has disclosed his association with the company. He noted that Councilmember Wood has been designated as the Council liaison to ensure transparency and accountability. Mayor Young also referenced a prior audit conducted during his early tenure on the Council, which identified inefficiencies, such as unused phone lines still being billed, underscoring the importance of ongoing financial oversight.

Mr. Anderson stated that AllTech has conducted site visits at City facilities to assess existing equipment and identify potential areas for improvement. He noted that presentation materials were included in the Council packets for review before the meeting.

Councilmember Wood stated that AllTech has been effective in clearly communicating the City's technology needs and recommended improvements. He emphasized the importance of maintaining up-to-date security measures and taking a proactive approach to technology management.

Mr. Anderson noted that automated (bot) traffic on the internet now exceeds human activity and that cybersecurity threats continue to evolve as technology advances. He explained that effective security requires a layered approach. He stated that a significant percentage of security breaches, approximately 90%, originate from user actions, often unintentional, such as clicking on malicious links. He added that implementing security awareness training can reduce potential threats by approximately 70%.

Mayor Young noted that Council Members will also be required to complete cybersecurity training and testing through a .gov platform, as mandated by state statute. He stated that the goal is to ensure that all official communications are conducted through secure .gov systems.

Discussion and possible vote on Ordinance 2026-2, an ordinance amending the Richmond City Manual of Design & Construction Standards, Chapter 6.0 “Irrigation Water Design”, Section 1.01 “General”.

Mr. Lewis was asked to draft the proposed ordinance amendment due to ongoing concerns related to new subdivisions and their connection to secondary water irrigation infrastructure. He noted that the existing code, adopted five plus years ago, requires such systems; however, since its implementation, five subdivisions have been developed under this code, and none have been connected to an operational irrigation water system.

Mr. Lewis emphasized that the proposed amendment is unrelated to water dedication requirements or payments, which are addressed separately in the code and not related to this Ordinance. He clarified that the purpose of this proposal is solely to remove the mandatory requirement for installing secondary irrigation water systems. He added that the City is currently working toward establishing the first operational connection among the five subdivisions.

Mr. Lewis reported that the Planning Commission reviewed the proposal and, following extensive discussion, recommended approval. He noted that the Planning Commission also expressed interest in exploring alternative approaches, such as xeriscaping, to address water use and landscaping needs.

Mr. Lewis provided an example to illustrate the challenges under the current requirement, describing a hypothetical 40-lot subdivision with only six available water shares. He questioned how those shares would be allocated among properties, who would be responsible for monitoring and enforcement, and what associated costs would be incurred.

The following changes are proposed (bold indicates change)
6.01 General

~~C. Richmond City Irrigation Infrastructure~~

~~a. A secondary irrigation system shall be constructed in all subdivisions and dedicated to the City.~~

Mayor Young stated that concerns have been raised regarding the application and effectiveness of secondary irrigation systems. Based on his review, he noted that other cities with similar requirements have more robust secondary water infrastructure. In Richmond, while there is an irrigation board, the lateral systems are privately owned. He stated that one of the primary challenges is that the City lacks both the mechanism and funding to facilitate system connections. He concluded that while the original Ordinance was well-intended, its implementation has not been effective.

Councilmember Black stated that he has attended irrigation board meetings for several years and recalled past concerns regarding potential metering requirements. He noted that if a subdivision were connected, a meter would typically be installed at the point of

connection. He emphasized the importance of water shares, noting that the City currently owns approximately 98 shares, of which about 30 serve the downtown area. He cautioned that careful consideration should be given before amending water-related ordinances, particularly given current conditions, noting that unused shares could be lost or reassigned.

Mayor Young stated that during the original adoption of the Ordinance, the Council had extensive discussions regarding beneficial use. He noted that legal counsel advised that municipalities generally have broader flexibility in maintaining beneficial use due to the variety of ways water can be utilized. Councilmember Black responded that the City must still demonstrate need and use, particularly in times of limited water availability. Mayor Young indicated that the City could demonstrate such a need.

Councilmember Black suggested leasing water shares to the Richmond Cemetery Maintenance District to demonstrate beneficial use. Mayor Young stated that he believed this may already be occurring and agreed to verify. Mr. Lewis added that he could research current usage of water shares by City facilities, including parks and the cemetery.

Councilmember Black expressed concern regarding leniency in water use and cited examples related to existing infrastructure. He stated that additional consideration should be given before removing irrigation requirements, particularly given current water conditions. Mayor Young responded that municipal water use differs from private use and reiterated that the City can demonstrate beneficial use.

Mayor Young noted that water shares acquired by the City can potentially be converted to culinary water use, as has been done in other municipalities. He stated that the Public Works Director and City Engineer would be invited to provide additional information at a future council meeting. Councilmember Black agreed that further education and discussion would be beneficial before making a decision.

Mayor Young also noted that additional water shares are typically acquired due to new development and increased service demands. Councilmember Black added that connection costs may vary depending on a subdivision's location. Mayor Young agreed and stated that infrastructure considerations vary by area and that historical water-use patterns should be taken into account as well.

Councilmember Draxler asked whether the issue must be addressed as an "all-or-nothing" approach, suggesting that requirements could vary depending on whether an area has historically had irrigation water access. Mr. Lewis provided an example of a proposed 16-acre subdivision with 16 water shares and a potential buildout of approximately 40 homes, noting the challenge of equitably distributing limited shares. Councilmember Bair questioned whether water volume could be shared after leaving a private lateral. Mayor Young stated that similar questions were considered during the original Ordinance discussions and emphasized that enforcement and monitoring would be significant challenges, potentially requiring individual metering. He noted that staff do not currently have the capacity to manage such oversight.

Mayor Young clarified that requiring water shares is separate from requiring installation of a secondary irrigation system. He explained that secondary systems were intended to utilize water shares, but recent developments have demonstrated limitations in areas where shares are insufficient or impractical to use. He questioned whether it would be feasible for developers with minimal shares to install systems that would not adequately serve all properties, and whether the City would bear the costs of upgrading private infrastructure.

Councilmember Black suggested that water shares from the irrigation system could potentially be incorporated into the City's culinary system. Mayor Young agreed that this may be a more practical approach, noting that the current code does not appear to function effectively when considering both cost and implementation challenges.

Councilmember Bair raised concerns regarding long-term responsibility and liability if irrigation laterals fail, emphasizing the need to consider potential maintenance costs and risks. Mr. Lewis noted that unintended consequences, such as unauthorized connections by homeowners, could create additional complications. Mayor Young stated that such issues would likely require individual metering if secondary systems were utilized. Councilmember Bair agreed that this is a valid concern.

Mayor Young recommended continuing the discussion at a future meeting to allow for additional information gathering and development of a more consistent approach. Councilmember Black asked whether there could be legal implications for developers who previously installed secondary systems if the requirement is removed. Mayor Young stated that, aside from potential frustration, he does not anticipate legal ramifications, noting that the original Ordinance was well-intended but may require adjustment over time.

Councilmember Draxler expressed concern regarding the potential long-term costs of connecting secondary systems and the likelihood that future demand for irrigation water will increase. He noted that water shares may eventually be consolidated under City control and expressed concern about future requirements to demonstrate beneficial use, particularly given evolving state water policies. He recommended allowing additional time for consideration before making changes.

*****Councilmember Draxler moved to TABLE Ordinance 2026-2, an ordinance amending the Richmond City Manual of Design & Construction Standards, Chapter 6.0 "Irrigation Water Design", Section 1.01 "General". Councilmember Wood seconded the motion. The motion was approved 5-0. *****

Yes Vote: Bair, Black, Draxler, Schumann, Wood

No Vote: None

Update and discussion on a proposed fire district voucher system.

Mayor Young reported that Cache County Executive George Daines is exploring a proposal to address concerns regarding perceived double taxation within the Cache County Fire District (CCFD). He explained that the proposal would involve the CCFD collecting property tax and redistributing funds to cities, except for unincorporated County areas. This approach would allow individual cities to determine how best to provide fire and EMS services for their communities. He noted that Richmond could utilize these funds to continue contracting with the Smithfield Fire Department. Mayor Young added that some areas within the County are currently paying less than their proportional share for fire services and expressed appreciation that the County is considering these concerns and seeking input.

Mrs. Karren will distribute information about a meeting scheduled for April 27, 2026, at which mayors will convene to discuss the proposal further.

Mr. Lewis explained that the proposed plan would establish a baseline mill levy and distribute funds to the cities and towns as vouchers. Cities would then have the authority to allocate those funds for fire and EMS services. He noted that Richmond City's current property tax rate is 0.001075 mills. Under the proposal, the County General Fund would be reduced by 0.000259 mills, with a corresponding increase to the Fire District levy. The proposal's details are still being developed.

Mayor Young stated that the City Council will evaluate how the proposal would best serve Richmond and expressed satisfaction with the progress being made.

Councilmember Draxler noted the importance of maintaining some level of local control over tax increases and expressed concern about 'government bloat' and the potential for government expansion.

Mr. Lewis responded that the County must continue to collect funds in this manner to support fire and EMS services in unincorporated areas. He also identified members of the Cache County Fire District Board of Trustees, including representatives from the County and several municipalities.

Councilmember Bair stated that earlier discussions regarding the formation of a traditional fire district raised concerns about asset ownership, funding responsibilities, and overall cost. He noted that the current proposal appears to be more cost-effective than the original concept.

Mayor Young said more information will be brought back next month.

Councilmember Wood was excused at 8:26 p.m.

Staff Reports and Monthly Financial Review

John Harris provided an update on the Community Building remodel project. Everything south of the main room is finished except the handrail. The floor in the main room will be done tomorrow. The kitchen equipment has been ordered and will hopefully arrive in time for the ribbon-cutting ceremony.

Mrs. Karren expressed appreciation for Mary Ellen Glenn, Ruth Little, and all they do for the community. She also thanked all the staff for their continued hard work.

Since last month, two more zoning clearances have been approved.

Black & White Days planning is moving forward. The Daughters of Utah Pioneers (DUP) will be doing a flag retirement before the horse pulls begin.

Mr. Lewis highlighted the following financial notes:

3130 - Sales Tax	\$50,571
3349 - Transportation Planning Grant	\$32,675
3354 - RTIF Road Tax	\$67,746
3364 - Library CLEF Grant	\$3,659
3656 - Cemetery Contract	\$76,317 (Paid through June 30 th)
3860 - Library Donations	\$15,307 of which \$10,000 is a Carnegie donation
4280 - Community Bldg Remodel	\$31,858
4425 - Streets Maintenance/Repair	\$6,849.92 (Received from insurance company for one of the lights destroyed along the highway in an auto accident)

On the Transportation Plan Grant an extension to complete the plan has been submitted by J-U-B Engineers.

4825 - Library Maintenance/Repair is where the Carnegie donation is being utilized and includes a remodel of a portion of the library building.

3726 - Bureau of Reclamation Water Field Service Grant in the amount of \$34,700 was received. This helped pay for the cost of the water master plan.

3739 - Sewer CIB (Community Impact Board) Grant was received in the amount of \$50,000. This helped pay for the sewer master plan update.

Council Member and Mayor Reports

Councilmember Schumann noted that parking is a concern at the Community Building as The Park Bench program as relocated to that building. Mayor Young suggested setting up a meeting for staff to attend and answer questions.

Councilmember Draxler said the City has identified a potential location for a future well behind the Park Community Center building within the park. He noted that some of the advantages of this location are existing electrical access and City ownership of the property, which would improve cost feasibility. He explained that an aquifer runs diagonally from the northeast to the southwest, and that the City's current well on the west side is located at the far end of the aquifer and is the highest-producing well in regard to gallons per minute. He further noted that the southeast portion of the City experiences consistently low water pressure and suggested installing a pump system to improve pressure in that area. He stated that the City Engineer will present cost estimates for a test well at the next meeting.

Councilmember Draxler also reported that discussions have taken place regarding short-term improvements at the sewer treatment plant. He noted that a diversion box has been approved. Following coordination with staff and engineers, it was determined that the piping from the plant to the ponds is undersized. He stated that one recommendation is to install a screening system and upsize the pipeline to improve functionality.

Mayor Young said test surveys have been done on the tank water at Cherry Peak. The WDCI well is the highest-producing well. The location mentioned by Councilmember Draxler would not pull from this well. There is also potential to upgrade well sizes at current locations. The City is always looking at less costly options.

Mayor Young noted that impact fees are based on several factors from debt to expansion of the system and adding more capacity. The goal is to try to keep the levels the same in the new studies.

Council members were asked to attend and help with the Black & White Days breakfast which is sponsored by and takes place at Lee's Marketplace.

Mayor Young continues to have regular meetings with staff on Tuesday of each week.

****The council took a short recess.****

Initial discussion on the Fiscal Year 2027 Budget which is the period of July 1, 2026 through June 30, 2027.

Before the meeting, the mayor and city council members received a copy of the Proposed Fiscal Year 2027 budget. Mr. Lewis noted that tonight is the initial presentation. The budget will be discussed at next month's meeting with anticipated adoption in June. He noted the following:

- 3.5% for cost-of-living (COLA) wage adjustment for those not receiving a raise within the last year. The budget does not include adding any new full-time employees.
- RAPZ tax funding will be added to the final budget once allocation amounts are announced.

- Sealing of six (6) sewer manholes. The long-term intent is to seal six manholes per fiscal year with an anticipated cost of \$30,000 per year.
- Additional tree trimming on the east side of the Black & White Days building has been included for \$5,300.
- An increase of \$45,000 for additional sidewalk repair, replacement, and installation for a total budget of \$80,000.
- Improvements to the Quonset building behind the Fire Station. This building was formerly the City maintenance shop many years ago. The City is out of space at the current maintenance shop, and this would allow for equipment storage and repair without building a new structure. Parks staff could also utilize this building. Improvements would include new lighting and electrical systems, a new main overhead door, and an upgrade to the entryway concrete. The estimated cost is \$35,763.
- Funding for the fireworks show will include an additional \$5,000 to the City Party line item and no longer be included in the Black & White Days celebration line item.
- Funding for one event, either the Halloween Carnival or the Christmas Festival, totaling \$3,500 is included in the budget. The Council will determine which event will be held this year with the thought of holding the other event the following year.
- The addition of a new parking area/roadway expansion on the south side of 100 North, from 100 West to 175 West, is estimated at \$83,000. Mayor Young said this issue needs to be addressed. This is a 2-lane road. The goal is to expand 7 feet to allow for an extra lane. This is a long-term repair and upgrade. Three bids have been received. Mr. Lewis said this would be funded through the RTIF (Rural Transportation Infrastructure Fund) tax.
- Purchase of a new Caterpillar M305 CT TAQ mini-excavator. The purchase cost is \$84,900, less a trade-in of the current mini-x of \$77,000, for a net purchase price of \$7,900. The consensus of the city council was to get the equipment ordered now so it would be received in the new fiscal year.
- Purchase of a John Deere Gator HPX615E (2026). Purchase price: \$17,992.
- A proposed increase of \$1.00 per month to the monthly water utility rate is included.
- A proposed \$1.00 increase to the monthly sewer utility rate is included.
- A proposed increase of \$2.00 per month to the monthly solid waste/garbage utility rate is included. The solid waste/garbage utility rate has not been adjusted since July 2023.
- The Central Dispatch monthly charge will increase from \$3.30 to \$3.40 per month, per Resolution 2025-03, adopted on March 20, 2025.

- Property tax revenue is unknown at this time and will be reviewed and discussed as part of the budget process when the County and State provide figure which are typically at the start of June.
- Long-term planning is underway for improvements to the MBR Plant (Sewer). A review is underway to determine whether significant long-term improvements can be achieved in phases.
- Studies are underway to determine the best location for a new culinary water tank, and as the City continues to grow, these large infrastructure projects will be needed.

Mayor Young noted that any changes in payroll will need to come before the City Council for discussion and/or approval.

The meeting adjourned at 9:29 p.m.

Next scheduled meeting: May 19, 2026

RICHMOND CITY CORPORATION

Jeffrey D. Young, Mayor

ATTEST:

Justin B. Lewis, City Recorder

**RICHMOND CITY CORPORATION
ORDINANCE 2026-03**

WHEREAS, the City Council of Richmond has the responsibility for the general welfare of the City; and
WHEREAS, such responsibility includes but is not limited to, establishment of best management practices;
and
WHEREAS, management practices may evolve over the passage of time:

NOW THEREFORE, the City Council of Richmond City, County of Cache, State of Utah, hereby adopts, passes and publishes the following:

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE RICHMOND CITY MUNICIPAL CODE, TITLE 12-000
“LAND USE, DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT (LUDMO), CHAPTER 12-3000
“PERMITTED USE MATRIX”.**

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF RICHMOND CITY, CACHE COUNTY, UTAH AS FOLLOWS:

1. Sections shall be amended such that the highlighted areas below shall be added and the ~~strikeout~~ areas shall be deleted.

12-3000 PERMITTED USE MATRIX

Current: Death Care Services

Proposed: Death Care Services, Mortuary, Crematorium

Proposed new item: Taxidermy Studio/Shop

Permitted: A-10, A-5, CBD, HC, MLI
Conditional-Use Permit: RE-1, RE-2
Not Allowed: RLD, RMD, RMF, NC

2. Should any section, clause, or provision of this Ordinance be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, in whole or in part, the same shall not affect the validity of the Ordinance as whole, or any other part thereof.
3. All ordinances, and the chapter, clauses, sections, or parts thereof in conflict with provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed, but only insofar as is specifically provided for herein.
4. This ordinance shall become effective after the required public hearing and upon its posting as required by law.

THIS ORDINANCE shall be attached as an amendment to the Richmond City Municipal Code above referred to.

ADOPTED AND PASSED by the Richmond City Council on this 19th day of May, 2026.

RICHMOND CITY CORPORATION

Jeffrey D. Young, Mayor

ATTEST:

Justin B. Lewis, City Recorder

RESOLUTION 2026-03

A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE FISCAL YEAR 2026 BUDGET FOR THE CITY OF RICHMOND, UTAH.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council Members of the City of Richmond, Utah, that the Fiscal Year 2026 budget be amended to include increases/(decreases) within the General Fund and Enterprise Funds.

GENERAL FUND

Revenue	\$495,616
<u>Expenses</u>	
Administration	\$15,000
Building	0
Public Safety	18,447
Streets	128,396
Parks	22,647
Fire	(3,057)
Library	24,366
Planning	1,055
Capital Projects	288,762
Total	\$495,616

WATER ENTERPRISE FUND

Revenue	\$244,715
Expense	\$18,000

SEWER ENTERPRISE FUND

Revenue	\$180,335
Expense	\$40,500

CUB RIVER SPORTS COMPLEX SPECIAL REVENUE FUND

Revenue	\$0
Expense	\$0

CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND

Revenue	\$303,762
Expense	\$0

SOLID WASTE ENTERPRISE FUND

Revenue	\$3,800
Expense	\$5,956

PASSED AND APPROVED this 19th day of May, 2026.

RICHMOND CITY CORPORATION

Jeffrey D. Young, Mayor

ATTEST:

Justin B. Lewis, City Recorder

**RICHMOND CITY BUDGET
JULY 1, 2026 - JUNE 30, 2027
BUDGET**

GL ACCOUNT #	BUDGET FY27	DEC	MAY	JUNE	REVISED BUDGET	FY26	+/(-)
REVENUE							
3110 INCOME - PROPERTY TAXES	\$ 406,333				406,333	\$ 369,394	36,939
3111 INCOME - FEE IN LIEU OF	\$ 26,000				26,000	\$ 24,000	2,000
3112 GAIN (LOSS) ON SALE	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3130 INCOME - LOCAL SALES TAX	\$ 640,000				640,000	\$ 612,000	28,000
3140 INCOME - FRANCHISE TAX	\$ 280,000				280,000	\$ 250,000	30,000
3150 INCOME - MASS TRANSIT TAX	\$ 118,000				118,000	\$ 100,000	18,000
3210 INCOME - BUSINESS LICENSES	\$ 2,200				2,200	\$ 2,000	200
3225 INCOME - DOG LICENSES	\$ 2,000				2,000	\$ 2,600	(600)
3355 INCOME - LOCAL ROAD TAX	\$ 66,000				66,000	\$ 60,000	6,000
3356 INCOME - CLASS C ROAD FUNDS	\$ 180,000				180,000	\$ 160,000	20,000
3353 INCOME - COG GRANT - 400 WEST	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3354 INCOME - RTIF ROAD TAX	\$ 67,000				67,000	\$ 62,000	5,000
3364 INCOME - LIBRARY CLEF GRANT					-	\$ -	-
3365 INCOME - LIBRARY TECH GRANT					-	\$ -	-
3510 INCOME - JUSTICE COURT FINES	\$ 2,500				2,500	\$ 1,500	1,000
3559 INCOME - LIB HOTSPOT GRANT	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3610 INCOME - INTEREST EARNINGS	\$ 45,000				45,000	\$ 40,000	5,000
3611 INCOME - PENALTY INTEREST	\$ 5,500				5,500	\$ 5,000	500
3614 RENT - CINGULAR TOWER SPACE	\$ 16,833				16,833	\$ 16,833	-
3620 RENT - POST OFFICE	\$ 14,040				14,040	\$ 13,000	1,040
3621 RENT - PARK	\$ 600				600	\$ 600	-
3628 INCOME - RENTAL EQUIPMENT	\$ 5,266				5,266	\$ -	5,266
3656 INCOME - CEMETERY CONTRACT	\$ 78,605				78,605	\$ 76,317	2,288
3663 INCOME - LIBRARY MISC	\$ 100				100	\$ 50	50
3662 INCOME - CHILD BOOK GRANT	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3666 INCOME - GRAVE DIGGING	\$ 6,000				6,000	\$ 6,000	-
3673 INCOME - ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3677 INCOME - CELEBRATIONS	\$ 6,000				6,000	\$ 6,000	-
3684 INCOME - YOUTH BASEBALL	\$ 3,000				3,000	\$ 3,000	-
3690 INCOME - KUED LIBRARY GRANT	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3692 INCOME - PLANNING FEES	\$ 7,000				7,000	\$ 7,000	-
3693 RENT - CITY BUILDINGS (PRIVATE)	\$ 45,000				45,000	\$ 45,000	-
RENT - CITY BUILDINGS (CITY)	\$ 10,000				10,000	\$ 10,000	-
3694 INCOME - SUNDRY REVENUES	\$ 250				250	\$ 250	-
3695 INCOME - RAPZ TAX COLLECTED	\$ -				-	\$ 135,000	(135,000)
3658 INCOME - UDOT GENERAL PLAN GRANT	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3697 COLLECTION FEE - CENTRAL DISPATCH	\$ 39,646				39,646	\$ 38,500	1,146
3823 DONATION - STREETS	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3835 DONATION - DUP	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3830 DONATION - LIBRARY	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3837 DONATION - BLACK & WHITE	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3841 DONATION - SENIOR PROGRAM	\$ 13,000				13,000	\$ 15,000	(2,000)
3107 TRANSFER FROM CAPITOL PROJECT FUND	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3105 USE OF CLASS C ROAD FUNDS	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
3104 USE OF RTIF ROAD TAX	\$ 16,000				-	\$ -	-
3109 USE OF FUND BALANCE	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
TOTAL REVENUE	\$2,101,873	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	2,101,873	\$2,061,044	40,829

**RICHMOND CITY BUDGET
JULY 1, 2026 - JUNE 30, 2027**

GL ACCOUNT #

DIRECT EXPENSES	BUDGET FY27	DEC	MAY	JUNE	REVISED BUDGET	FY26	
DIRECT COSTS							
4111 ADM - WAGES	\$ 23,056				23,056	\$ 22,919	137
4113 ADM - BENEFITS	\$ 3,302				3,302	\$ 3,342	(40)
4120 ADM - MILEAGE & CONVENTION EXPENS	\$ 5,000				5,000	\$ 6,000	(1,000)
4122 ADM - ADVERTISING	\$ 300				300	\$ 600	(300)
4123 ADM - SUBSCRIPTIONS & MEM	\$ 1,500				1,500	\$ 1,600	(100)
4124 ADM - OFFICE EXPENSE	\$ 7,000				7,000	\$ 7,000	-
4126 ADM - UTILITIES	\$ 700				700	\$ 1,000	(300)
4129 ADM - CREDIT/DEBIT CARD FEES	\$ 6,500				6,500	\$ 7,000	(500)
4131 ADM - AUDITOR FEES	\$ 6,000				6,000	\$ 6,000	-
4132 ADM - ATTORNEY FEES	\$ 5,000				5,000	\$ 4,000	1,000
4149 ADM - PROPERTY TAX CELL PHONE TOWER	\$ 817				817	\$ 887	(70)
4151 ADM - INSURANCE	\$ 3,075				3,075	\$ 2,664	411
4156 ADM - YOUTH COUNCIL	\$ 5,000				5,000	\$ 5,000	-
4159 ADM - CELEBRATIONS	\$ 15,000				15,000	\$ 6,500	8,500
4160 ADM - BAD CHECK EXPENSE	\$ 1,000				1,000	\$ 1,000	-
4162 ADM - ELECTION EXPENSE	\$ -				-	\$ 7,487	(7,487)
4163 ADM - SUNDRY	\$ 2,500				2,500	\$ 2,500	-
4188 ADM - IT EQUIP & SERVICE	\$ 30,000				30,000	\$ 30,000	-
4194 ADM - SALES TAX REBATE	\$ 90,000				90,000	\$ 80,000	10,000
4195 ADM - EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	\$ 1,000				1,000	\$ 1,000	-
4198 ADM - AMERICAN LEGION	\$ 1,250				1,250	\$ 1,250	-
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE	\$ 208,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	208,000	\$ 197,749	10,251

						FY26	
4211 BLDG - WAGES	\$ 45,376				45,376	\$ 50,293	(4,917)
4213 BLDG - BENEFITS	\$ 4,009				4,009	\$ 4,461	(452)
4225 BLDG - MAINTENANCE	\$ 45,000				45,000	\$ 45,000	-
4226 BLDG - UTILITIES	\$ 52,000				52,000	\$ 52,000	-
4251 BLDG - INSURANCE	\$ 3,075				3,075	\$ 2,908	167
4289 BLDG - PARK SCHOOL RENOVATION	\$ 15,000				15,000	\$ 15,000	-
4282 BLDG - SENIOR PROGRAM	\$ 28,000				28,000	\$ 28,000	-
4292 BLDG - B&W REMODEL (RAPZ)	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
4280 BLDG - COMM BLDG REMODEL (RAPZ)	\$ -				-	\$ 150,000	(150,000)
TOTAL BUILDING EXPENSE	\$ 192,460	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	192,460	\$ 347,662	(155,202)

GL ACCOUNT #

	BUDGET FY27	DEC	MAY	JUNE	REVISED BUDGET	FY26	
4311 PUBLIC SAFETY - WAGES	\$ 12,536				12,536	\$ 11,202	1,334
4313 PUBLIC SAFETY - BENEFITS	\$ 1,108				1,108	\$ 994	114
4332 PUBLIC SAFETY - ATTORNEY FEE	\$ 50,000				50,000	\$ 38,000	12,000
4351 PUBLIC SAFETY - INSURANCE	\$ 100				100	\$ 93	7
4366 PUBLIC SAFETY - DOG POUND FEE	\$ 300				300	\$ 300	-
4367 PUBLIC SAFETY - DOG CATCHER SERVICE	\$ 11,250				11,250	\$ 10,425	825
4371 PUBLIC SAFETY - COMMUNICATION CENTER	\$ 39,646				39,646	\$ 39,600	46
4380 PUBLIC SAFETY - POLICE PROTECTION	\$ 72,120				72,120	\$ 67,312	4,808
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY EXPENSE	\$ 187,060	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	187,060	\$ 167,926	19,134

						FY26	
4411 STREETS - WAGES	\$ 97,009			97,009	\$ 66,158		30,851
4413 STREETS - BENEFITS	\$ 50,410			50,410	\$ 30,032		20,378
4425 STREETS - MAINTENANCE	\$ 50,000			50,000	\$ 50,000		-
4426 STREETS - UTILITIES	\$ 26,000			26,000	\$ 26,000		-
4427 STREETS - GAS & OIL	\$ 10,000			10,000	\$ 10,750		(750)
4432 STREETS - ATTORNEY	\$ 1,500			1,500	\$ 1,500		-
4433 STREETS - ENGINEERING	\$ 20,000			20,000	\$ 48,000		(28,000)
4434 STREETS - MISCELLANEOUS SERVICES	\$ 500			500	\$ 500		-
4435 STREETS - SIDEWALKS	\$ 80,000			80,000	\$ 35,000		45,000
4448 STREETS - EXPENDABLE SUPPLIES	\$ 60,000			60,000	\$ 60,000		-
4451 STREETS - INSURANCE	\$ 3,075			3,075	\$ 3,190		(115)
4460 STREETS - EQUIPMENT	\$ 7,900			7,900	\$ -		7,900
4463 STREETS - SUNDRY	\$ 500			500	\$ 500		-
4468 STREETS - CLASS C LAND	\$ -			-	\$ -		-
4469 STREETS - CLASS C EQUIPMENT	\$ -			-	\$ -		-
4471 STREETS - CLASS C ROAD FUNDS	\$ 180,000			180,000	\$ 160,000		20,000
4474 STREETS - LOCAL ROAD TAX FUNDS	\$ 66,000			66,000	\$ 60,000		6,000
4482 STREETS - MASS TRANSIT TAX	\$ 118,000			118,000	\$ 100,000		18,000
4493 STREETS - TRANS PLANT GRANT	\$ -			-	\$ 30,000		-
4475 STREETS - RTIF ROAD TAX	\$ 83,000			83,000	\$ 62,000		-
4473 STREETS - COG GRANT - 400 W	\$ -			-	\$ -		-

TOTAL STREETS EXPENSE \$ 853,894 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 853,894 \$ 743,630 \$ 119,264

GL ACCOUNT #

	BUDGET				REVISED		
-----	FY27	DEC	MAY	JUNE	BUDGET	FY26	
4511 PARKS - WAGES	\$ 78,968				78,968	\$ 113,162	(34,194)
4513 PARKS - BENEFITS	\$ 41,802				41,802	\$ 52,651	(10,849)
4525 PARKS - MAINTENANCE	\$ 25,000				25,000	\$ 25,000	-
4527 PARKS - GAS & OIL	\$ 2,500				2,500	\$ 2,500	-
4528 PARKS - UTILITIES	\$ 10,000				10,000	\$ 10,000	-
4551 PARKS - INSURANCE	\$ 3,075				3,075	\$ 3,414	(339)
4559 PARKS - TREE PRUNING	\$ 15,300				15,300	\$ 7,000	8,300
4562 PARKS - B&W ACTIVITIES	\$ 25,000				25,000	\$ 24,250	750
4564 PARKS - YOUTH BASEBALL	\$ 3,000				3,000	\$ 3,000	-
4567 PARKS - SHRUBS/TREES	\$ 500				500	\$ 500	-
4597 PARKS - RAPZ PROJECTS	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
4589 PARKS - NATURE PARK	\$ 1,000				1,000	\$ 1,000	-
4584 PARKS - EQUIPMENT	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
TOTAL PARKS EXPENSE	\$ 206,145	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	206,145	\$ 242,477	(36,332)
FY26							
4625 FIRE DEPT - MAINTENANCE & REPAIR	\$ 1,000				1,000	\$ -	1,000
4626 FIRE DEPT - UTILITIES	\$ 7,000				7,000	\$ 7,000	-
4627 FIRE DEPT - GAS & OIL	\$ 500				500	\$ 500	-
4651 FIRE DEPT - INSURANCE	\$ 3,075				3,075	\$ 2,474	601
4690 FIRE DEPT - SMITHFIELD FIRE CONTRACT	\$ 104,945				104,945	\$ 104,945	-
TOTAL FIRE DEPT EXPENSE	\$ 116,520	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	116,520	\$ 114,919	1,601

GL ACCOUNT #	BUDGET	DEC	MAY	JUNE	REVISED	FY26	
-----	FY27				BUDGET		
4811 LIBRARY - WAGES	\$ 70,945				70,945	\$ 67,595	3,350
4813 LIBRARY - BENEFITS	\$ 10,770				10,770	\$ 10,620	150
4820 LIBRARY - MILEAGE & CONVENTION EXPENSE	\$ 1,200				1,200	\$ 1,200	-
4823 LIBRARY - SUBSCRIPTIONS & MEMBERSHIPS	\$ 350				350	\$ 350	-
4824 LIBRARY - OFFICE EXPENSE	\$ 1,500				1,500	\$ 1,200	300
4825 LIBRARY - MAINTENANCE	\$ 1,200				1,200	\$ 1,200	-
4826 LIBRARY - UTILITIES	\$ 6,800				6,800	\$ 6,000	800
4827 LIBRARY - PROCESSING SUPPLIES	\$ 800				800	\$ 800	-
4835 LIBRARY - AUTOMATION	\$ 1,800				1,800	\$ 1,800	-
4837 LIBRARY - COMPUTER UPGRADE	\$ 2,000				2,000	\$ 4,000	(2,000)
4839 LIBRARY - TECHNOLOGY	\$ 2,600				2,600	\$ 3,200	(600)
4841 LIBRARY - TECHNOLOGY GRANT	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
4851 LIBRARY - INSURANCE	\$ 3,075				3,075	\$ 3,033	42
4855 LIBRARY - PROGRAMS	\$ 3,000				3,000	\$ 3,000	-
4863 LIBRARY - SUNDRY	\$ 1,500				1,500	\$ 1,200	300
4866 LIBRARY - OTHER MATERIALS	\$ 800				800	\$ 800	-
4864 LIBRARY - ELECTRONIC MATERIALS	\$ 5,000				5,000	\$ 3,000	2,000
4865 LIBRARY - PRINT MATERIALS	\$ 7,500				7,500	\$ 7,500	-
4880 LIBRARY - HOTSPOT GRANT					-	\$ -	-
4881 LIBRARY - ILL GRANT					-	\$ -	-
4889 LIBRARY - CLEF GRANT					-	\$ -	-
4885 LIBRARY - KUED GRANT					-	\$ -	-
					-	\$ -	-
					-	\$ -	-
TOTAL LIBRARY EXPENSE	\$ 120,840	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	120,840	\$ 116,498	4,342
4911 PLANNING - WAGES	\$ 42,541				42,541	\$ 45,909	(3,368)
4913 PLANNING - BENEFITS	\$ 21,065				21,065	\$ 18,178	2,887
4920 PLANNING - TRAINING	\$ 2,500				2,500	\$ 2,500	-
4924 PLANNING - OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$ 500				500	\$ 500	-
4931 PLANNING - COUNTYWIDE PLANNING	\$ 2,186				2,186	\$ 2,186	-
4932 PLANNING - ATTORNEY	\$ 6,000				6,000	\$ 5,000	1,000
4933 PLANNING - ENGINEERING	\$ 15,000				15,000	\$ 24,000	(9,000)
4951 PLANNING - INSURANCE	\$ 3,075				3,075	\$ 2,849	226
4981 PLANNING - TRAILS COORDINATOR	\$ 1,046				1,046	\$ 1,046	-
4996 PLANNING - PLANNING COMMISSION STIPEND	\$ 1,800				1,800	\$ 1,800	-
							-
							-
TOTAL PLANNING EXPENSE	\$ 95,713	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95,713	\$ 103,968	(8,255)
50001 TRANSFER TO SPEC REV FUND SPORTS COMPLEX	\$ 25,000				25,000	\$ 25,000	-
50000 TRANSFER TO CAPITAL PROJECT FUND	\$ 96,241				96,241	\$ 1,215	95,026
APPROPRIATED INCREASE IN FUND BALANCE					-	\$ -	-
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$ 2,101,873	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	2,101,873	\$ 2,061,044	40,829
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			

GL ACCOUNT # -----	BUDGET FY27	DEC	MAY	JUNE	REVISED BUDGET	FY26	
WATER ENTERPRISE FUND							
3711 WATER - MONTHLY CHARGE	\$ 879,480				879,480	\$ 772,252	107,228
3715 WATER - DEDICATION PAYMENT					-		-
3716 WATER - METER CONNECTION FEE					-		-
3717 WATER - IMPACT FEE					-		-
3718 WATER - GAIN ON SALE					-		-
3719 WATER - SUNDRY REVENUES					-	\$ -	-
3725 WATER - ARPA FUNDS					-		-
3720 WATER - INTEREST	\$ 150,000				150,000	\$ 130,000	20,000
					-		-
					-		-
TOTAL WATER ENTERPRISE REVENUE	\$ 1,029,480	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	1,029,480	\$ 902,252	127,228
5111 WATER - WAGES	\$ 192,299				192,299	\$ 191,326	973
5113 WATER - BENEFITS	\$ 99,159				99,159	\$ 87,334	11,825
5114 WATER - PENSION EXPENSE	\$ 10,000				10,000	\$ 10,000	-
5120 WATER - MILEAGE & CONVENTION EXPENSE	\$ 5,000				5,000	\$ 5,000	-
5121 WATER - BAD DEBT	\$ 1,000				1,000	\$ 1,000	-
5122 WATER - ADVERTISING	\$ -				-	\$ 100	(100)
5123 WATER - SUBSCRIPTIONS & MEMBERSHIPS	\$ 2,500				2,500	\$ 2,300	200
5124 WATER - OFFICE EXPENSE	\$ 8,000				8,000	\$ 8,000	-
5125 WATER - MAINT & REPAIR	\$ 50,000				50,000	\$ 50,000	-
5126 WATER - UTILITIES	\$ 50,000				50,000	\$ 40,000	10,000
5127 WATER - GAS & OIL	\$ 10,000				10,000	\$ 10,000	-
5129 WATER - CREDIT/DEBIT CARD FEES	\$ 6,500				6,500	\$ 7,000	(500)
5131 WATER - AUDITOR	\$ 6,000				6,000	\$ 6,000	-
5132 WATER - ATTORNEY FEE	\$ 2,000				2,000	\$ 2,000	-
5133 WATER - ENGINEERING	\$ 30,000				30,000	\$ 40,000	(10,000)
5145 WATER - DEPRECIATION EXPENSE	\$ 260,000				260,000	\$ 280,000	(20,000)
5148 WATER - SUPPLIES	\$ 50,000				50,000	\$ 50,000	-
5151 WATER - INSURANCE	\$ 24,600				24,600	\$ 23,669	931
5160 WATER - EQUIP RENTAL	\$ 2,633				2,633	\$ -	2,633
5189 WATER - BLDG RENT	\$ 5,000				5,000	\$ 5,000	-
5163 WATER - SUNDRY	\$ 2,000				2,000	\$ 2,000	-
5164 WATER - SAMPLES	\$ 9,000				9,000	\$ 9,000	-
5175 WATER - IRRIGATION SHARE FEES	\$ 4,000				4,000	\$ 3,600	400
5178 WATER - INTEREST WATER TANK	\$ 72,757				72,757	\$ 78,532	(5,775)
5188 WATER - IT SERVICE & EQUIP	\$ 30,000				30,000	\$ 30,000	-
5196 WATER - METERS	\$ 30,000				30,000	\$ 30,000	-
					-	\$ -	-
TOTAL WATER EXPENSE	\$ 962,448	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	962,448	\$ 971,861	(9,413)

GL ACCOUNT # -----	BUDGET FY27	DEC	MAY	JUNE	REVISED BUDGET	FY26	
SEWER ENTERPRISE FUND							
3731 SEWER - MONTHLY SERVICE FEE	\$ 1,035,840				1,035,840	\$ 925,648	110,192
3734 SEWER - IMPACT FEE	\$ -				-		-
3750 SEWER - ARPA FUNDS	\$ -				-		-
3746 SEWER - INTEREST	\$ 70,000				70,000	\$ 70,000	-
TOTAL SEWER ENTERPRISE FUND	\$ 1,105,840	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	1,105,840	995,648	110,192
5211 SEWER - WAGES	\$ 244,297				244,297	\$ 197,437	46,860
5213 SEWER - BENEFITS	\$ 92,080				92,080	\$ 72,529	19,551
5214 SEWER - PENSION EXPENSE	\$ 10,000				10,000	\$ 10,000	-
5220 SEWER - MILEAGE & CONVENTION EXPENSE	\$ 5,000				5,000	\$ 7,500	(2,500)
5221 SEWER - BAD DEBT	\$ 1,000				1,000	\$ 1,000	-
5222 SEWER - ADVERTISING	\$ -				-	\$ 100	(100)
5223 SEWER - SUBSCRIPTIONS & MEMBERSHIPS	\$ 2,000				2,000	\$ 2,000	-
5224 SEWER - OFFICE EXPENSE	\$ 8,000				8,000	\$ 7,000	1,000
5225 SEWER - MAINTENANCE & REPAIR	\$ 90,000				90,000	\$ 50,000	40,000
5226 SEWER - UTILITIES	\$ 100,000				100,000	\$ 100,000	-
5227 SEWER - GAS & PROPANE	\$ 20,000				20,000	\$ 20,000	-
5229 SEWER - CREDIT/DEBIT CARD FEES	\$ 6,500				6,500	\$ 7,000	(500)
5231 SEWER - AUDITOR	\$ 6,000				6,000	\$ 6,000	-
5232 SEWER - ATTORNEY FEE	\$ 2,000				2,000	\$ 3,000	(1,000)
5233 SEWER - ENGINEERING	\$ 50,000				50,000	\$ 50,000	-
5245 SEWER - DEPRECIATION	\$ 360,000				360,000	\$ 382,000	(22,000)
5248 SEWER - SUPPLIES	\$ 70,000				70,000	\$ 70,000	-
5251 SEWER - INSURANCE	\$ 24,600				24,600	\$ 21,445	3,155
5260 SEWER - EQUIP RENTAL	\$ 2,633				2,633	\$ -	2,633
5289 SEWER - BLDG RENT	\$ 5,000				5,000	\$ 5,000	-
5263 SEWER - SUNDRY	\$ 1,500				1,500	\$ 1,500	-
5264 SEWER - SAMPLES	\$ 13,000				13,000	\$ 11,000	2,000
5288 SEWER - IT SERVICE & EQUIP	\$ 30,000				30,000	\$ 30,000	-
5295 SEWER - LINE CLEANING	\$ 30,000				30,000	\$ 25,000	5,000
					-	\$ -	
TOTAL SEWER EXPENSE	\$ 1,173,610	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	1,173,610	\$ 1,079,511	94,099

GL ACCOUNT # -----	BUDGET FY27	DEC	MAY	JUNE	REVISED BUDGET	FY26	
SPECIAL REVENUE FUND (CUB RIVER SPORTS COMPLEX)							
REVENUES							
3153 INCOME - LEWISTON CITY	\$ 25,000				25,000	\$ 25,000	-
3154 INCOME - RAPZ TAX COLLECTED	\$ -				-		-
3764 INCOME - MISC	\$ -				-		-
OTHER SOURCES							
3550 INCOME - TRANSFER FROM CITY GENERAL FUND	\$ 25,000				25,000	\$ 25,000	-
3549 USAGE OF BEGINNING FUND BALANCE					-	\$ -	-
TOTAL REVENUE & OTHER SOURCES	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	50,000	\$ 50,000	-
EXPENDITURES							
5311 CUB RIVER - WAGES					-	\$ -	-
5313 CUB RIVER - BENEFITS					-		-
5325 CUB RIVER - MAINTENANCE	\$ 50,000				50,000	\$ 50,000	-
5393 CUB RIVER - INFRASTRUCTURE					-		-
5395 CUB RIVER - RAPZ TAX	\$ -				-		-
5392 CUB RIVER - EQUIPMENT					-	\$ -	-
BUDGETED INCREASE IN FUND BALANCE							
TOTAL EXPENDITURES & OTHER USES	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	50,000	\$ 50,000	-
CAPITOL PROJECTS FUND							
REVENUES							
50003 TRANSFER FROM GENERAL FUND	\$ 96,241				96,241	\$ 1,215	95,026
3687 INCOME - INTEREST	\$ 100,000				100,000	\$ 90,000	10,000
OTHER ADDITIONS							
TOTAL REVENUE	\$ 196,241	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 196,241	\$ 91,215	105,026
EXPENDITURES							
50004 TRANSFER TO GENERAL FUND					-	\$ -	-
4000 CPF - PROJECTS	\$ 57,034				57,034	\$ 8,800	48,234
4001 CPF - EQUIPMENT	\$ 82,992				82,992	\$ 16,314	66,678
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$ 140,026	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	140,026	\$ 25,114	114,912

SOLID WASTE ENTERPRISE FUND		BUDGET	DEC	MAY	JUNE	REVISED	FY26	
		FY27				BUDGET		
REVENUES								
3696	COLLECTION FEE - GARBAGE	\$ 338,024				338,024	\$ 289,025	48,999
3699	INTEREST EARNINGS	\$ 500				500	\$ 1,000	(500)
						-		-
	TOTAL REVENUE	\$ 338,524	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	338,524	290,025	48,499
EXPENDITURES								
5611	SOLID WASTE - WAGES	\$ 34,168				34,168	\$ 22,919	11,249
5613	SOLID WASTE - BENEFITS	\$ 4,161				4,161	\$ 3,342	819
5624	SOLID WASTE - OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$ 3,200				3,200	\$ 3,000	200
5626	SOLID WASTE - UTILITIES	\$ 420				420	\$ -	-
5629	SOLID WASTE - CREDIT/DEBIT CARD FEES	\$ 6,500				6,500	\$ 6,000	-
5631	SOLID WASTE - AUDITOR	\$ 6,000				6,000	\$ 6,000	-
5632	SOLID WASTE - LEGAL & PROFESSIONAL	\$ -				-	\$ -	-
5651	SOLID WASTE - INSURANCE	\$ 3,075				3,075	\$ 2,664	411
5670	SOLID WASTE - LOGAN SERVICE AREA	\$ 55,000				55,000	\$ 51,300	3,700
5630	SOLID WASTE - CARRIER EXPENSE	\$ 198,000				198,000	\$ 172,800	25,200
5688	SOLID WASTE - IT EQUIP/SERVICE	\$ 18,000				18,000	\$ 12,000	6,000
5699	SOLID WASTE - GARBAGE CANS	\$ 10,000				10,000	\$ 10,000	-
	TOTAL EXPENSE	\$ 338,524	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 338,524	\$ 290,025	48,499